Exhibit 1

	Page 1
1	
2	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
4	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
5	x
6	In the Matter of:
7	
8	DPH HOLDINGS CORP., et al.,
9	
10	Reorganized Debtors.
11	
12	x
13	
14	United States Bankruptcy Court
15	300 Quarropas Street
16	White Plains, New York
17	
18	September 22, 2011
19	10:09 AM
20	
21	BEFORE:
22	HON. ROBERT D. DRAIN
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
24	
25	

	Page 2
1	
2	HEARING re Motion For Recoupment on Behalf of Delphi Salaried
3	Retirees
4	
5	HEARING re Claims Objection Hearing Regarding Claims of Alla
6	Averbukh, on Behalf of the Estate of Boris Averbukh, as
7	Objected to in the Reorganized Debtors' Motion for Order (i)
8	Enforcing Modification Procedures Order, Modified Plan and Plan
9	Modification Order Injunction and Forty-Seventh Omnibus Claims
1.0	Objection Order Against Averbukhs, as Plaintiffs, in Maryland
11	State Court Wrongful Death Action; and (ii) Directing Averbukhs
L2	to Dismiss Action to Recover Upon Discharged and Expunged Claim
L3	("Averbukh Injunction Motion")
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Transcribed by: Lisa Bar-Leib

		Page 3
1		
2	APP	EARANCES:
3	BUTZEI	LONG, PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
4		Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al., Reorganized
5		Debtors
6		Suite 100
7		150 West Jefferson Avenue
8		Detroit, MI 48226
9		
10	BY:	CYNTHIA J. HAFFEY, ESQ.
11		
12	BUTZEL	LONG, PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
13		Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al., Reorganized
14		Debtors
15		Stoneridge West
16		41000 Woodward Avenue
17		Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304
18		
19	BY:	SHELDON H. KLEIN, ESQ.
20		· *
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	Page 4
1	
2	SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
3	Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al., Reorganized
4	Debtors
5	155 North Wacker Drive
6	Chicago, IL 60606
7	
8	BY: LOUIS S. CHIAPPETTA, ESQ.
9	(TELEPHONICALLY)
10	
11	CIARDI CIARDI & ASTIN
12	Attorneys for Vladimir Averbukh (Individually and as
13	Personal Representative for the Estate of Boris
14	Averbukh) and Alesander Averbukh
15	100 Church Street
16	8th Floor
17	New York, NY 10007
18	
19	BY: RICK A. STEINBERG, ESQ.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 5	
1		
2	ALSO APPEARING:	
3	JAMES B. SUMPTER	
4	On Behalf of Himself as a Delphi Salaried Retiree	
5	21169 Westbay Circle	
6	Noblesville, IN 46062	
7		
8	BY: JAMES B. SUMPTER, PRO SE	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

DPH HOLDINGS CORPORATION, et al.

Page 23

least, they wouldn't make any sense to a lawyer versed in basic principles of bankruptcy law. However, Mr. Sumpter isn't a lawyer so I decided first to treat this matter through written submissions by the parties and a hearing today. And secondly, I decided not to enjoin him from bringing further actions.

On the other hand, by no means should Mr. Sumpter take that ruling as a license to bring further actions that are not premised upon a good faith real argument. The debtors have their rights under -- in matters before this Court --Bankruptcy Rule 9011, in matters in the federal district court or other federal courts under Civil Procedure 11 and in their corollaries under the various state court procedures for bringing frivolous or bad faith claims. And Mr. Sumpter is duly warned that notwithstanding his pro se status, he is on clear notice that future motions in violation of the -- or other litigation in violation of the plan modification order or this Court's prior orders or the Delphi debtors' discharge under their Chapter 11 plan should merit the imposition of sanctions. And the debtors can certainly use this transcript in that regard if such litigation is commenced outside of this court.

So again, for the reasons that I've stated on the record, the motion's denied as a matter of law under the equivalent of or based upon the factors applied by the Court under Bankruptcy Rule 7012 in light of the Court's prior orders

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

.25